## Case 4:21-cv-01085-A Document 7 Filed 11/12/21 Page 1-6f-6 Page DAT FORTH WORTH DIVISION

Jose Lira-Rodriguez	
Movant,	NO. 4:21-CV-1085-A
V	(4:15-CR-199-A)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Respondent,	U.S. DISTRICT OF TEXA NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXA
<u> Nesponorent</u>	NOV 1 2 2021
Response to the	Response CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COU
the Government for	Motion Under
28 U.S.C	82755
	Respectfully Submitted
	Fote Tira Radreguez
	Josa Lira-Rodrique
	inmate#36803-177
	FCI Jesup
	· ·
	2680 HWY 301 SOUTH
	JESUP, GA 31599

## Case 4:21-cv-01085-A Document 7 Filed 11/12/21 Page 2 of 6 PageID 42

Case 4:21-cv-01085-A Document 7 Filed 11/12/21 Page 2 of 6 PageID 42	
TABLE CE CONTENTS	
	, y , comments
Argunent	
Argunest	
(a) time uness:	Japaner
The state of the s	
(C) Conclusion pg3	
00.3	against the part of the second
Curtificate of Service	
	anne de la compe
COCK CITES	
CASE CITES United States V. Carrillo-Lopez, -F. Supp. 3d -, 2021 WL	
United States V. Carrillo-Lope 1	
3667330 (DINEV.)	
Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Developme Corporation 429 U.S. 252 (1977) pg/	<u> </u>
Village of Arangton neigh	***************************************
Corporation 429 U.S.	
Federal Statutes and Rules	
82255(F)	, a a production of the section of t
5 3 5 5	
and the second s	
Other Authorities. Black's Law Dictionary Fifth Edition	
	هنجام او او او استندر و و و

ARGUMENT (A) Timelyness Section 2255 Contains a one year Statute of limitations Sec 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (F). That peried begins to run on the latest of: (1) the date on which the judgment of consistion becomes (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by government action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movent was prevente from making a motion by such governmental action; (3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or (4) the date on which the fact supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence. Lira-Rodriguez is timely on his motion under (4) of \$2255(F) because only after his exercise of due diligence in United States Vi Carrillo-Lopez, E. Supp. 3d \_\_ 2021 WL 3667330 (D. Nev. Aug 18, 2021) was it that he was exposed te Village of Arlington Heights V. Metropolitan

## (B) Constitution The Constitution is the Supreme law of the land and it protects the people from the government. Any time the government violates this compact it is in disagreement with the founding Fathers of this country and the organic Law. The government cannot make a Statutury law that violates the PRIVATE rights of a man. In this case the government must show that it can violate the equal-protection of Jose Lira-Rodriguez a man on the land that is protected by the Constitution. (Village of Arlington Heights) only points towards these God given rights which are inalienable. Inalianable Rights - Rights which are not capable of being surrendered or transferred without the consent of the one possessing such rights. Morrison V. State, Mo. App., 252 8.W. 2d 97, 101. [ Black's Law Dictionary Fifth Edition pg 683] The government must provide a Signed bona fide Contract Stateting that Lira-Rodriguez consented to give away his equal-protection a Private right and not a privilege.

